

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. 09/510,560 02/22/2000 00.1090.US 3011 Kenneth Iain Cumming

7590

02/21/2006

SYNNESTVEDT & LECHNER LLP ATTN: PATRICK J. KELLY, ESQ. SUITE 2600 ARAMARK TOWER 1101 MARKET STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19107-2950

EXAMINER PONNALURI, PADMASHRI

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1639

DATE MAILED: 02/21/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/510,560	CUMMING ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Padmashri Ponnaluri	1639		

	Padmashri Ponnaluri	1639		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED <u>26 January 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, affi stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)	
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).				
AMENDMENTS	b. 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); 				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for				
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).				
7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:				
Claim(s) allowed: 96 and 97.				
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-7,10-39,41,42,47,49-58,61-66,87-95 and 98-109</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>8,9,59,60 and 67-86</u> .				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).				
13. Other:	_			
PADNASH.71 FON:	-	.		
Padnashti fon: Mary exam	77774 771 - TM	Padmashri Ponnal Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1639	urı	

Application No. 09/510,560

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment cancels claims 1-95, 98-109 and adds new claims 110-158. The proposed amendments are not being entered for the following reasons:

The proposed new claims are not searched earlier, and further the new claims may raise new matter or 112, first paragrph rejections. And further the newly proposed claim 145 is drawn to a pharmaceutical composition made by the process would raise art rejections; and the newly proposed claim 140 is drawn to method of treatment of a medical condition raise issues under 35 USC. 112 first paragraph and art rejections.

Applicants have not provided reasons why these amendments were not presented earlier.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants request for reconsideration has been considered, and would not place the application in condition for allowance for the reasons discussed supra. The proposed amendment has not been entered,.